Lake Michigan Stocking Options for 2018 and 2019

Since the 2016 decision to reduce Chinook salmon stocking to balance predator and prey numbers in Lake Michigan, public feedback has continuously asked if other species could be reduced in place of Chinook salmon. We were not prepared to have those discussions last year and are ready to consider other species changes for 2018 and 2019. While developing the Draft Lake Michigan Fishery Management Plan, we also heard that we need to consider what species we stock and how many based on Lake Michigan Habitat and less about spreading each species around the lake equally. That concept really made sense especially at a time when we are reducing stocking to match a new carrying capacity in Lake Michigan with less productivity.

The concept of <u>Zonal Management</u> became a tactic within the Management Plan and has received positive reviews so far. Within Zonal Management we would prioritize management (i.e. stocking, regulations, and habitat protection and improvement) based on habitat, nutrient inputs, and climatic conditions of the lake. It would also provide a platform for local areas to promote their fisheries based on their habitat and the species that thrive there.

The stocking options presented in this document are a step closer to Zonal Management by reducing some species, moving others, and increasing stocking in locations that are appropriate. These options for the 2018 and 2019 stocking years will only cover brown trout, coho salmon, lake trout and Chinook salmon. Any option that reduces other species will provide predator room for more Chinook salmon stocking. However, fish diets and prey fish consumption are not equal. Chinook salmon grow faster and consume more alewife than other species. For example, just one Chinook salmon eats the same as 2.4 steelhead. The following equivalents will be used to adjust Chinook salmon stocking based on changes in other species:

| Species | Number of fish equivalent to one (1) Chinook salmon |
|----------------|---|
| Chinook salmon | 1.00 |
| Coho salmon | 3.20 |
| Lake trout | 2.30 |
| Steelhead | 2.40 |
| Brown trout | 2.20 |

Any changes to Chinook salmon stocking can be implemented in 2018; however, changes to brown trout, coho salmon, and lake trout will not be implemented until 2019.

Chinook salmon are in the hatchery for less than 6 months while other species are in the hatchery for up to 18 months.

Brown Trout

Brown trout stocking adds to a diverse fishery in Lake Michigan. The fishery is mostly an early spring fishery with the odd brown trout caught throughout the season. It is a very expensive fish to stock (\$258 per fish harvested in 2016) and in recent years has produced an improved fishery with some ports doing better than most. Impediments include warm water, lack of habitat structure at some ports, and predation of stocked fish. Wisconsin stocks at a higher rate (30,000 to 50,000 per port) and has good brown trout fishing, especially in ports with structure. The west side of the lake typically has more favorable temperatures throughout the year too. To maximize survival and to create destination brown trout fisheries, brown trout stocking should be concentrated to areas with structure and deep water closer to shore. The options are 1) status quo or same as 2017; 2) stocking same number and move some brown trout to northern ports; 3) reduce stocking by 50,000; 4) reduce stocking by 100,000; and 5) reduce stocking by 200,000. With each option that reduces brown trout stocking, proportionally more Chinook salmon could be stocked.

Below are some options to consider for brown trout stocking:

| Site | Option 1 | Option 2 | Option 3 | Option 4 | Option 5 |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Bark River | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Manistique | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Big Bay De Noc - Hallsteads | 28,500 | 28,500 | 28,500 | 0 | 0 |
| Little Bay De Noc | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Menominee | 17,500 | 17,500 | 17,500 | 0 | 0 |
| Petoskey | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 0 |
| East Grand Traverse | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 30,000 | 0 |
| Leland | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 0 |
| Glen Arbor | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Platte Bay | 30,000 | 30,000 | 38,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| Frankfort | 46,000 | 68,000 | 70,000 | 81,000 | 76,000 |
| Arcadia | 15,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manistee | 30,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 75,000 | 70,000 |
| Ludington | 56,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 90,000 |
| Pentwater | 19,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| White Lake | 19,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Muskegon | 19,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand River | 15,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Holland | 24,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Saugatuck | 19,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South Haven | 8,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| St. Joseph | 19,000 | 20,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| New Buffalo | 19,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 506,000 | 506,000 | 456,000 | 406,000 | 306,000 |

Coho Salmon

Coho salmon stocking has remained relatively stable for 50 years. There has been some movement of fish in the Grand River system to increase survival, and this has resulted in better fishing. Coho salmon are becoming more important in the fishery, especially when Chinook salmon numbers are down. The average cost was \$47 per fish harvested in 2016. They can produce fall fisheries in ports and rivers that either don't have or are limited in wild Chinook salmon runs. Stocking changes should consider moving stocking sites downstream and increasing ports to the south where there is a lack of wild production. The main risk to consider is how many coho salmon need to be stocked in Platte River to maintain broodstock for Michigan and other states. The options are 1) status quo or same as 2017; 2) move coho downstream in the Grand river; 3) Reduce Platte River by 50,000 and stock Saugatuck; 4) Reduce Platte by 50,000 and move 30,000 out of the St. Joe River and stock both Saugatuck and Muskegon (Indiana is increasing yearling Coho stocking in the St. Joe so there should be similar total numbers in the St. Joe as in 2017); 5) Reduce Platte River by 75,000 and stock Saugatuck, Muskegon, and increase Escanaba.

Below are some options to consider for Coho Stocking:

| Site | Option 1 | Option 2 | Option 3 | Option 4 | Option 5 |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Escanaba River | 26,259 | 26,259 | 26,259 | 26,259 | 51,259 |
| Boardman River | 87,530 | 87,530 | 87,530 | 87,530 | 87,530 |
| Platte River | 800,000 | 800,000 | 750,000 | 750,000 | 725,000 |
| Manistee River | 87,530 | 87,530 | 87,530 | 87,530 | 87,530 |
| Sable River | 43,765 | 43,765 | 43,765 | 43,765 | 43,765 |
| Muskegon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| Grand River (Lansing) | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Grand River (Lyons) | 200,719 | 100,719 | 100,719 | 100,719 | 100,719 |
| Rogue River | 25,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 |
| Grand River (Ada) | 0 | 90,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 |
| Saugatuck | 0 | 0 | 50,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| St. Joe River (Berrien | 131,295 | 131,295 | 131,295 | 101,295 | 101,295 |
| Springs) | | | | | |
| Galien River | 21,883 | 21,883 | 21,883 | 21,883 | 21,883 |
| Total | 1,473,981 | 1,473,981 | 1,473,981 | 1,473,981 | 1,473,981 |

Lake Trout

Lake trout stocking creates both nearshore and offshore fishing opportunities and is required to meet rehabilitation goals. Anglers have vocalized their preference to catch salmon and steelhead while recognizing the value of lake trout in a diverse fishery. Now that Lake Michigan has less capacity to sustain prey, anglers would like to see lake trout managed appropriately based on available prey. Lake trout stocking is prioritized in Lake Michigan based on the implementation strategy for lake trout rehabilitation. The strategy established first priority stocking areas, which are necessary for rehabilitation goals, and second priority stocking areas that are established to create nearshore fisheries. Nearshore fisheries in most cases can be maintained with stocking of 30,000 to 40,000 yearling lake trout in areas that lack natural reproduction. High natural reproduction areas may be stocked with less. The first priority stocking areas are West Beaver Reef Complex, East Beaver Reef Complex, and Charlevoix Reef Complex. The remaining stocking sites from Elk Rapids to Ludington are second priority areas and are being considered for stocking reductions. Any changes to lake trout stocking will also need approval from the Lake Michigan Committee and the Technical Fish Committee (Treaty of 1836 – 2000 Consent Decree). The options are 1) status quo; 2) reduce 120,000; 3) reduce 180,000; 4) reduce by 380,000.

Below are some options to consider for lake trout:

| Site | Option 1 | Option 2 | Option 3 | Option 4 |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| W.Beaver Complex | 480,000 | 480,000 | 480,000 | 480,000 |
| East Beaver Complex | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 | 600,000 |
| Charlevoix Complex | 360,000 | 360,000 | 360,000 | 360,000 |
| Elk Rapids | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 30,000 |
| Torch Lake outlet | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 30,000 |
| Old Mission | 80,000 | 80,000 | 80,000 | 30,000 |
| GTB Shoal | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 30,000 |
| Ingalls Point | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 30,000 |
| Lee's Reef | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 30,000 |
| Greilickville or Reef | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Good Harbor | 100,000 | 70,000 | 40,000 | 30,000 |
| Point Betsie | 100,000 | 70,000 | 40,000 | 30,000 |
| Portage Lake | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 30,000 |
| Ludington | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 30,000 |
| Grand Haven | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Holland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| St. Joseph | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2,120,000 | 2,000,000 | 1,940,000 | 1,740,000 |

Chinook Salmon

Chinook salmon stocking has decreased since 1999 to balance predator and prey numbers and due to an increase in natural reproduction making up more of the fishery (at least 50%). Stocking is necessary to maintain the Little Manistee River broodstock and fisheries in areas that lack natural reproduction. Chinook salmon are relatively inexpensive to stock with a cost of \$3.70 per fish harvested in the lake in 2016. Stocking options are based on the number of predator equivalents available from brown trout and lake trout stocking reductions. The options are 1) status quo; 2) increase 76,000; 3) increase 144,000; 4) increase 230,000. Pulse stocking will continue to be implemented with an every other year stocking plan.

Below are some options to consider for Chinook salmon:

| | Option 1 | | Option 2 | | Option 3 | | Option 4 | |
|-----------------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| Site | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Escanaba | | | | | | | | 30,000 |
| Manistique | 33,334 | | 60,000 | | 60,000 | | 70,000 | |
| Medusa | | 50,000 | | 70,000 | | 80,000 | | 80,000 |
| Boardman | 50,000 | | 50,000 | | 80,000 | | 80,000 | |
| Manistee River | | | | | | | | |
| Little Manistee River | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 210,000 | 210,000 |
| Big Sable River | | | | 25,000 | | 25,000 | | 40,000 |
| Muskegon River | | | | 25,000 | | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| Grand Haven | | 50,000 | | 50,000 | | 84,000 | | 90,000 |
| Holland | | | 36,000 | | 40,000 | | 40,000 | |
| Black River | 33,333 | | 40,000 | | 60,000 | | 80,000 | |
| Saugatuck | 33,333 | | 40,000 | | 54,000 | | 80,000 | |
| St. Joseph | | 50,000 | | 56,000 | | 80,000 | | 85,000 |
| Total | 330,000 | 330,000 | 406,000 | 406,000 | 474,000 | 474,000 | 560,000 | 560,000 |

If you have comments on these stocking options, please send them by Friday, December 8, 2017 to:

Jay Wesley Lake Michigan Basin Coordinator 621 N. 10th Street Plainwell, MI 49080 269-685-6851 Ext. 117 wesleyj@michigan.gov